Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/801,634	MIZUTANI, MASASHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744		

	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
periods:				
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(c) They have the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3744		
	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The scope of at least claim 1 and claims 3 through 6 would be changed by the proposed amendments, thereby necessitating additional search and/or consideration. Also, if applicant wishes to rely on the benefit of the foreign parent priority document, merely providing a machine language translation fails to meet the requirement of providing a translation and a statement that the translation is accurate. Applicant has furthermore failed to provide any persuasive arguments or even just specific arguments as to the suggested allowability of the claims over at least the prior art of record. Last, but not least, applicant's arguments that support for the claimed subject matter may be found in Figure 3 (which is readable on a non-elected species) potentially raises the new issue as to whether or not all of the claims are actually readable on the elected second species as depicted in Figure 6 as previously asserted by applicant.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendment to the drawings is non-compliant as noted in greater detail by the attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.